

MATHEMATICS OF THE BOSE GAS IN THE THOMAS-FERMI REGIME

Daniele Dimonte

Advisor:
Internal faculty tutor:

Prof. Michele Correggi
Prof. Ludwik Dąbrowski



TABLE OF CONTENT

INTRODUCTION

STATIONARY PROBLEM

DYNAMICAL PROBLEM

CONCLUSION

INTRODUCTION

STATIONARY PROBLEM

DYNAMICAL PROBLEM

CONCLUSION

PHYSICAL SETTING

Study of energy of N identical bosons in a box Λ in $d = 3$ (density $\rho := N/|\Lambda|$): let $E_0(N) := \inf \sigma(H_N)$

- **Thermodynamic limit:** density ρ is fixed, limit of infinite volume of the **energy per particle**

$$\epsilon(\rho) := \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{E_0(N)}{N}$$

- **Dilute limit:** study of $\epsilon(\rho)$ as ρa^3 is small (a scattering length, effective length of the interaction), *Lee-Huang-Yang* formula

$$\epsilon(\rho) = 4\pi\rho a \left(1 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\rho a^3} + o\left(\sqrt{\rho a^3}\right) \right)$$

Goal: understanding the behaviour of $E_0(N)$ as $\rho a^3 \ll 1$

PHYSICAL SETTING

In a dilute limit (at $T = 0$) one can expect that the macroscopic ground state of the system Ψ_{GS} is described in terms of a one-particle state, i.e., **Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC)**

$$H_N \Psi_{\text{GS}} = E_0(N) \Psi_{\text{GS}}$$
$$\Psi_{\text{GS}} \approx \psi_{\text{GS}}^{\otimes N}$$

ψ_{GS} ground state of a nonlinear effective one-particle functional

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{eff}}[\psi] := \langle \psi, h\psi \rangle + \langle \psi, \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) \rangle$$

with h one-particle Hamiltonian and \mathcal{V}_{eff} nonlinear potential

DILUTE LIMITS

Let v_N be the (N -dependent) pair interaction

- Mean-Field (Hartree)

$$v_N(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{N} v(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} (v * |\psi|^2) |\psi|^2$$

- Gross-Pitaevskii (GP)

$$v_N(\mathbf{x}) := N^2 v(N\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} g |\psi|^4$$

- Intermediate regimes ($\beta \in (0, 1)$)

$$v_N(\mathbf{x}) := N^{3\beta-1} v(N^\beta \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int v \right) |\psi|^4$$

In all these cases a_N the scattering length of v_N satisfies $Na_N \rightarrow \frac{1}{8\pi} g$, with g constant ($\rho a_N^3 \approx N^{-2} \ll 1$)

DILUTE LIMITS

Let v_N be the (N -dependent) pair interaction

- Mean-Field (Hartree) ($\beta = 0$)

$$v_N(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{N} v(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} (v * |\psi|^2) |\psi|^2$$

- Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) ($\beta = 1$)

$$v_N(\mathbf{x}) := N^2 v(N\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} g |\psi|^4$$

- Intermediate regimes ($\beta \in (0, 1)$)

$$v_N(\mathbf{x}) := N^{3\beta-1} v(N^\beta \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int v \right) |\psi|^4$$

In all these cases a_N the scattering length of v_N satisfies $Na_N \rightarrow \frac{1}{8\pi} g$, with g constant ($\rho a_N^3 \approx N^{-2} \ll 1$)

THOMAS-FERMI REGIME

In experimental settings, in particular in considering rotating systems, $Na_N \gg 1$; this is called **Thomas-Fermi** regime, in analogy with the density theory for large atoms

Two kinds of trap considered:

- **Trapped system in \mathbb{R}^3**

one-particle Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

one-particle Hamiltonian given by $h = -\Delta + U(\mathbf{x})$, with

$U(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}|^s$, $s \geq 2$

- **System in a box**

one-particle Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h} := L^2(\Lambda)$, Λ a box of side length 1

one-particle Hamiltonian given by $h = -\Delta$ with periodic boundary conditions

THOMAS-FERMI REGIME

Consider the following many-body Hamiltonian

$$H_N := \sum_{j=1}^N h_j + g_N N^{3\beta-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} v \left(N^\beta (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k) \right)$$

defined on $\mathcal{H}_N := \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes_s N}$

- Without loss of generality $\int v = 1$; then the scattering length of $g_N N^{3\beta} v(N^\beta \cdot)$ is given for $\beta \in [0, 1)$ by

$$Na_N = \frac{1}{8\pi} g_N (1 + o(1))$$

therefore we require $g_N \gg 1$ (TF regime)

- If $g_N \leq N^{\frac{2(s+3)}{3(s+2)}}$ this is still a *dilute limit* (for a system in a box this means $g_N \leq N^{2/3}$)

MATHEMATICAL SETTING

For any one-particle observable A , many-body state $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_N$

$$\langle \Psi, \sum_{j=1}^N A_j \Psi \rangle = N \operatorname{tr} [\gamma_{\Psi}^{(1)} A]$$

where $\gamma_{\Psi}^{(1)}$ is the **1-particle reduced density matrix**

COMPLETE BEC

Given a many-body state $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_N$ and a one-particle state $\varphi \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$\langle \varphi, \gamma_{\Psi}^{(1)} \varphi \rangle = \langle \Psi, (|\varphi\rangle \langle \varphi|)_1 \Psi \rangle \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 1$$

a macroscopic fraction of the particles occupies the same one-particle state

Equivalently

$$\gamma_{\Psi}^{(1)} \rightarrow P_{\varphi} := |\varphi\rangle \langle \varphi|, \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathfrak{h})$$

INTRODUCTION

STATIONARY PROBLEM

DYNAMICAL PROBLEM

CONCLUSION

SETTING

We consider a **system in a box** with periodic boundary conditions
($\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\Lambda)$)

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_j + g_N N^{3\beta-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} v(N^\beta(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k))$$

Crucial assumption: v radial, compactly supported and of positive type ($\widehat{v} \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(N) &:= \inf \sigma(H_N) \\ &= \inf \left\{ \langle \Psi, H_N \Psi \rangle : \Psi \in \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes_s N}, \|\Psi\| = 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

TRIVIAL UPPER BOUND

Translation invariant system, first guess for an upper bound: *the constant function*

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(N) &\leq \langle 1, H_N 1 \rangle \\ &= \frac{g_N(N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(0) \end{aligned}$$

We want to match this upper bound with a corresponding lower bound and estimate the number of excited particles

NUMBER OF EXCITED PARTICLES

Let $a_{\mathbf{p}}$ annihilate (respectively $a_{\mathbf{p}}^*$ create) a particle of momentum \mathbf{p} ; for bosons, they satisfy the **canonical commutation relations (CCR)**

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{q}}^*] &= \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}, \\ [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{q}}] &= [a_{\mathbf{p}}^*, a_{\mathbf{q}}^*] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \Lambda^* := (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^3$$

The **number of excited particles** is measured by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_+ &:= \sum_{\mathbf{p} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{p}}^* a_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \neq 0} |\mathbf{p}|^2 a_{\mathbf{p}}^* a_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_j \end{aligned}$$

LOWER BOUND

Following [S11] and using $\hat{v} \geq 0$ one can estimate the potential as

$$\begin{aligned} g_N N^{3\beta-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} v \left(N^\beta (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k) \right) \\ \geq \frac{g_N (N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(\mathbf{0}) + \frac{g_N}{2} \left(\hat{v}(\mathbf{0}) - N^{3\beta} v(\mathbf{0}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

So if $\|\Psi\| = 1$ we get

$$(2\pi)^2 \langle \Psi, \mathcal{N}_+ \Psi \rangle \leq \langle \Psi, H_N \Psi \rangle - \frac{g_N (N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(\mathbf{0}) + \mathcal{O} \left(g_N N^{3\beta} \right)$$

THEOREM

Assume v compactly supported and of positive type ($\hat{v} \geq 0$) and $\beta < 1/3$

$$E_0(N) = \frac{g_N(N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(0) + o(g_N N)$$

Moreover, if $g_N \ll N^{1-3\beta}$, the estimate for \mathcal{N}_+ guarantees *complete BEC in the ground state*, i.e., if Ψ_{GS} is the (normalized) ground state

$$1 - \langle \Psi_{\text{GS}}, (|1\rangle \langle 1|)_1 \Psi_{\text{GS}} \rangle \leq \mathcal{O}(g_N N^{3\beta-1})$$

Related questions:

- $\beta \geq 1/3$: different ideas are needed
- Next-to-leading order approximation: Bogoliubov theory

THEOREM

Assume v compactly supported and of positive type ($\hat{v} \geq 0$) and $\beta < 1/3$

$$E_0(N) = \frac{g_N(N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(\mathbf{0}) + \mathcal{O}(g_N N^{3\beta})$$

Moreover, if $g_N \ll N^{1-3\beta}$, the estimate for \mathcal{N}_+ guarantees *complete BEC in the ground state*, i.e., if Ψ_{GS} is the (normalized) ground state

$$1 - \langle \Psi_{\text{GS}}, (|1\rangle \langle 1|)_1 \Psi_{\text{GS}} \rangle \leq \mathcal{O}(g_N N^{3\beta-1})$$

Related questions:

- $\beta \geq 1/3$: different ideas are needed
- Next-to-leading order approximation: Bogoliubov theory

BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

In [LNSS15] a useful decomposition was introduced: let $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_N$, $\varphi_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\Psi = \Psi_0 \varphi_0^{\otimes N} + \Psi_1 \otimes_s \varphi_0^{\otimes(N-1)} + \dots + \Psi_N$$

$$\Psi \rightarrow (\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_N) =: U_N \Psi$$

U_N is a unitary map from \mathcal{H}_N to the Fock space of the excitations

$$\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq N} := \bigoplus_{j=0}^N \mathfrak{h}_+^{\otimes_s j} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_+ := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_+^{\otimes_s j}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_+ := \{\varphi_0\}^\perp, \quad \varphi_0 := 1$$

\mathcal{N}_+ corresponds to the number operator on this Fock space

BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

In [LNSS15] a useful decomposition was introduced: let $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_N$, $\varphi_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \Psi_0 \varphi_0^{\otimes N} + \Psi_1 \otimes_s \varphi_0^{\otimes(N-1)} + \dots + \Psi_N \\ \Psi &\rightarrow (\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_N) =: U_N \Psi\end{aligned}$$

U_N is a unitary map from \mathcal{H}_N to the **Fock space of the excitations**

$$\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq N} := \bigoplus_{j=0}^N \mathfrak{h}_+^{\otimes_s j} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_+ := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_+^{\otimes_s j}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_+ := \{\varphi_0\}^\perp, \quad \varphi_0 := 1$$

\mathcal{N}_+ corresponds to the number operator on this Fock space

BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

a and a^* are not well defined on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq N}$ (they are defined on the bigger space \mathcal{F}_+):

$$b_{\mathbf{p}} := \sqrt{\frac{N - \mathcal{N}_+}{N}} a_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad b_{\mathbf{p}}^* := a_{\mathbf{p}}^* \sqrt{\frac{N - \mathcal{N}_+}{N}}, \quad \mathbf{p} \in \Lambda_+^* := \Lambda^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

b and b^* *almost* satisfy CCRs

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{q}}^*] &= \frac{N - \mathcal{N}_+}{N} \delta_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}} - \frac{1}{N} a_{\mathbf{q}}^* a_{\mathbf{p}}, & \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \Lambda_+^* \\ [b_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{q}}] &= [b_{\mathbf{p}}^*, b_{\mathbf{q}}^*] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

$$U_N \Psi = (\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_N)$$

We define $\mathcal{L}_N := U_N H_N U_N^*$

$$\mathcal{L}_N = \frac{g_N(N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(\mathbf{0}) + \mathbb{H}_N + \mathcal{R}_N,$$

$$\mathbb{H}_N := \sum_{\mathbf{p} \neq 0} \left[|\mathbf{p}|^2 b_{\mathbf{p}}^* b_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{g_N}{2} \hat{v}\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{N^\beta}\right) (2b_{\mathbf{p}}^* b_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}} b_{-\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^* b_{-\mathbf{p}}^*) \right]$$

\mathcal{R}_N contains higher order terms (cubic and quartic terms) that we shall now drop but that require further analysis

BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

\mathbb{H}_N is *almost* diagonalizable:

$$d_{\mathbf{p}} := \frac{b_{\mathbf{p}} + \alpha_{\mathbf{p}} b_{-\mathbf{p}}^*}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{p}}^2}}, \quad d_{\mathbf{p}}^* := \frac{b_{\mathbf{p}}^* + \alpha_{\mathbf{p}} b_{-\mathbf{p}}}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{p}}^2}}, \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{p}} \in [0, 1)$$

$$\mathbb{H}_N = E_{\text{Bog}} + \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \Lambda_+^*} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} d_{\mathbf{p}}^* d_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathcal{S}_N, \quad \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \geq 0$$

\mathcal{S}_N negligible with respect to E_{Bog}

Problem: d and d^* do not satisfy CCR

LEMMA

Let $\nabla \hat{v}, \frac{\hat{v}}{|\mathbf{p}|} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$E_{\text{Bog}} = -|E_{\text{Bog}}| = -g_N^2 N^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{p} \left(\frac{\hat{v}(\mathbf{p})}{2|\mathbf{p}|} \right)^2 + o(g_N^2 N^\beta)$$

GUESS FOR NEXT ORDER

If $\beta < \frac{1}{3}$

$$E_0(N) = \frac{g_N(N-1)}{2} \hat{v}(0) - g_N^2 N^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{p} \left(\frac{\hat{v}(\mathbf{p})}{2|\mathbf{p}|} \right)^2 (1 + o(1))$$

PERSPECTIVES

$$\mathcal{L}_N = \frac{g_N(N-1)}{2} \widehat{v}(\mathbf{0}) + E_{\text{Bog}} + \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \Lambda_+^*} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} d_{\mathbf{p}}^* d_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathcal{R}_N + \mathcal{S}_N$$

The tools of **[BBCS19]** could be useful in studying \mathcal{L}_N

- Estimate \mathcal{R}_N and \mathcal{S}_N in terms of \mathcal{N}_+ to show that those are subleading when considering the ground state energy
- Use a suitable *Bogoliubov transformation* \mathcal{V}_N so that $\mathcal{V}_N d_{\mathbf{p}}^* d_{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{V}_N^* \approx a_{\mathbf{p}}^* a_{\mathbf{p}}$
- \mathcal{V}_N would also be useful to study the spectrum of excitations of H_N in terms of the values $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$

INTRODUCTION

STATIONARY PROBLEM

DYNAMICAL PROBLEM

CONCLUSION

SETTING

We consider a trapped system in \mathbb{R}^3 ($\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$)

$$H_N := \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j + U(\mathbf{x}_j)) + g_N N^{3\beta-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} v(N^\beta(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k))$$

with $U(\mathbf{x}) := |\mathbf{x}|^s$, $s \geq 2$

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \Psi_{N,t} = H_N \Psi_{N,t} \\ \Psi_{N,t}|_{t=0} = \Psi_{N,0} \end{cases}$$

Goal: understand whether complete BEC is preserved by time evolution, i.e.

$$\gamma_{\Psi_{N,0}}^{(1)} \rightarrow P_{\psi_0} \text{ in } \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathfrak{h}) \implies \gamma_{\Psi_{N,t}}^{(1)} \rightarrow P_{\psi_t} \text{ in } \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathfrak{h})$$

GROSS-PITAEVSKII EQUATION

Expected limiting equation: the **time-dependent GP equation**

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi_t = (-\Delta + U(\mathbf{x})) \psi_t + g_N |\psi_t|^2 \psi_t \\ \psi_t|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \end{cases}$$

Idea: in the ground state energy the kinetic term is negligible; applying the unitary transformation $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \lambda^{3/2} \psi(\lambda \mathbf{x})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\|\psi\|_2=1} \langle \psi, \left(-\Delta + U + \frac{g_N}{2} |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \rangle \\ &= g_N^{\frac{s}{s+3}} \inf_{\|\phi\|_2=1} \langle \phi, \left(-g_N^{-\frac{s+2}{s+3}} \Delta + U + \frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 \right) \phi \rangle \\ &=: \varepsilon^{-\frac{2s}{s+2}} \inf_{\|\phi\|_2=1} \mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi] \end{aligned}$$

calling $\varepsilon := g_N^{-(s+2)/2(s+3)}$

GROSS-PITAEVSKII EQUATION

Expected limiting equation: the **time-dependent GP equation**

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi_t = (-\Delta + U(\mathbf{x})) \psi_t + g_N |\psi_t|^2 \psi_t \\ \psi_t|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \end{cases}$$

Idea: in the ground state energy the kinetic term is negligible; applying the unitary transformation $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \lambda^{3/2} \psi(\lambda \mathbf{x})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\|\psi\|_2=1} \langle \psi, \left(-\Delta + U + \frac{g_N}{2} |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon^{-\frac{2s}{s+2}} \inf_{\|\phi\|_2=1} \langle \phi, \left(-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + U + \frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 \right) \phi \rangle \\ &=: \varepsilon^{-\frac{2s}{s+2}} \inf_{\|\phi\|_2=1} \mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi] \end{aligned}$$

calling $\varepsilon := g_N^{-(s+2)/2(s+3)}$

THOMAS-FERMI ENERGY

Dropping the kinetic term we obtain the TF energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}}[\rho] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \left(U(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(\mathbf{x}) \right) \rho(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$E^{\text{TF}} := \inf_{\|\rho\|_1=1, \rho \geq 0} \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}}[\rho],$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \left(\varepsilon^2 |\nabla \psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 U(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(\mathbf{x}) \right) \rho(\mathbf{x})$$

$$E^{\text{GP}} := \inf_{\|\phi\|_2=1} \mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi] = E^{\text{TF}} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|) \quad ([\text{BCPY07}])$$

A rescaling *is needed* both at the many-body level and at the one-particle level to observe a nontrivial behavior

RESCALING OF THE HAMILTONIAN

The corresponding rescaling for the many-body system is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{N,\tau}(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N) &:= \varepsilon^{-\frac{3N}{s+2}} \Psi_{N,t}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \tau &:= \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(s+3)}{s+2}} t, \quad \mathbf{y} := \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{s+2}} \mathbf{x}\end{aligned}$$

$\Phi_{N,\tau}$ solves

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_\tau \Phi_{N,\tau} = K_N \Phi_{N,\tau} \\ \Phi_{N,\tau}|_{t=0} = \Phi_{N,0} \equiv \Phi_N \end{cases}$$

$$K_N := \sum_{j=1}^N (-\varepsilon^2 \Delta_j + U(\mathbf{y}_j)) + N^{-1} \tilde{N}^{3\beta} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} v(\tilde{N}^\beta (\mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{y}_k))$$

with $\tilde{N} := \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\beta(s+2)}} N \gg N$

RESCALING THE LIMITING EQUATION

A similar rescaling for the GP equation gives

$$\phi_\tau(\mathbf{y}) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{s+2}} \psi_t(\mathbf{x})$$

ϕ_τ solves the **time-dependent rescaled GP equation**

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_\tau \phi_\tau = -\varepsilon^2 \Delta \phi_\tau + U(y) \phi_\tau + |\phi_\tau|^2 \phi_\tau \\ \phi_\tau|_{\tau=0} = \phi_0 \end{cases}$$

We also use an intermediate equation, the **time-dependent Hartree (H) equation**

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_\tau \varphi_\tau = -\varepsilon^2 \Delta \varphi_\tau + U(y) \varphi_\tau + v_{\tilde{N}} * |\varphi_\tau|^2 \varphi_\tau \\ \varphi|_{\tau=0} = \phi_0 \end{cases}$$

where $v_{\tilde{N}}(\mathbf{x}) := \tilde{N}^{3\beta} v(\tilde{N}^\beta \mathbf{x})$

CONJECTURE

Let ϕ_0 be the initial datum of the GP equation

$$\|\phi_0\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(1) \implies \sup_{\tau \in [0, +\infty)} \|\phi_\tau\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{O}(1)$$

THEOREM

Assume that the Conjecture holds true and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \gamma_{\Psi_{N,0}}^{(1)} - P_{\psi_0} \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}^1} &\leq \zeta_N \ll 1 \\ \mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi_0] - E^{\text{GP}} &\leq \xi_N \\ \varepsilon &\gg [(1 - 6\beta - \delta) \log N]^{-\frac{s+2}{2(s+3)}} \end{aligned}$$

then for each $\beta \in [0, 1/6)$ there is *complete BEC* on ψ_t , i.e.

$$\left\| \gamma_{\Psi_{N,t}}^{(1)} - P_{\psi_t} \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}^1} \ll 1$$

CONJECTURE

Let ϕ_0 be the initial datum of the GP equation

$$\|\phi_0\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(1) \implies \sup_{\tau \in [0, +\infty)} \|\phi_\tau\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{O}(1)$$

THEOREM

Assume that the Conjecture holds true and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \gamma_{\Psi_{N,0}}^{(1)} - P_{\psi_0} \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}^1} &\leq \zeta_N = \varepsilon^{-6/(s+2)} N^{\beta-1} \\ \mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi_0] - E^{\text{GP}} &\leq \xi_N = |\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{-(5s+6)/2(s+2)} N^{-\beta} \\ \varepsilon &\gg \left[(1 - 6\beta - \delta) \log N \right]^{-\frac{s+2}{2(s+3)}} \end{aligned}$$

then for each $\beta \in [0, 1/6)$ there is *complete BEC* on ψ_t , i.e.

$$\left\| \gamma_{\Psi_{N,t}}^{(1)} - P_{\psi_t} \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}^1} \ll 1$$

REMARKS

- Similar result is achievable also in $d = 2$
- Open question is to get over $\beta = 1/6$; also related to stationary problem limitations
- (HP1) means that there is BEC in the initial datum Ψ_0 on the state ϕ_0
- (HP2) means that the GP initial datum ϕ_0 is close to a ground state in energy: crucial to prove that the Hartree solution is close to the GP solution
- (HP3) is necessary to prove condensation on a state ψ_τ ; still allows for a dilute limit

$$\left\| \gamma_{\Psi_{N,0}}^{(1)} - P_{\psi_0} \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}^1} \ll 1 \quad (\text{HP1})$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[\phi_0] - E^{\text{GP}} \leq \xi_N \quad (\text{HP2})$$

$$\varepsilon \gg [(1 - 6\beta - \delta) \log N]^{-\frac{s+2}{2(s+3)}} \quad (\text{HP3})$$

SKETCH OF THE PROOF

Two parts:

- Approximate the $\gamma_{\Phi_{N,\tau}}^{(1)}$ with P_{φ_τ}
- Estimate the difference between φ_τ and ϕ_τ

Main ingredients:

- Tools developed in **[P11]**
- Energy estimates for the one-particle problem

MANY-BODY TO HARTREE

Similarly to [P11], the goal is obtaining a Grönwall-type estimate for

$$\alpha_\tau := 1 - \langle \Phi_{N,\tau}, (|\varphi_\tau\rangle \langle \varphi_\tau|)_1 \Phi_{N,\tau} \rangle$$

We need to estimate terms of the form

$$\left\| v_{\tilde{N}} * |\varphi_\tau|^2 \right\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_1 \|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty^2$$

Using the Conjecture we get the desired result; if we do not assume it, we can only use the kinetic energy: *we do not reach the time scale of vortices* (compare with [JS15])

HARTREE TO GROSS-PITAEVSKII

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau \|\varphi_\tau - \phi_\tau\|_2^2 &\leq \left| \operatorname{Im} \langle \varphi_\tau, \left(v_{\tilde{N}} * |\varphi_\tau|^2 - a |\phi_\tau|^2 \right) \phi_\tau \rangle \right| \leq \\
&\leq \left| \langle \varphi_\tau - \phi_\tau, v_{\tilde{N}} * \left(|\varphi_\tau|^2 - |\phi_\tau|^2 \right) \phi_\tau \rangle \right| + \\
&+ \left| \langle \varphi_\tau, \left(v_{\tilde{N}} * |\phi_\tau|^2 - |\phi_\tau|^2 \right) \phi_\tau \rangle \right|
\end{aligned}$$

To prove convergence of this last two terms use L^2 difference of the solutions for the first term and $v_N \rightarrow \delta$ as a distribution for the second one:

$$\left| \langle \varphi_\tau, \left(v_{\tilde{N}} * |\phi_\tau|^2 - |\phi_\tau|^2 \right) \phi_\tau \rangle \right| \leq \frac{C}{\tilde{N}^\beta} \|\nabla \phi_\tau\|_2 \|\phi_\tau\|_\infty^2$$

INTRODUCTION

STATIONARY PROBLEM

DYNAMICAL PROBLEM

CONCLUSION

CONCLUSION

- There is BEC in the Thomas Fermi limit, at least in a scaling with $\beta < 1/3$
 - Q: What happens if $\beta > 1/3$?
 - Q: Is Bogoliubov theory correct for $\beta < 1/3$?
- Condensation is preserved under suitable assumptions of regularity on the solution
 - Q: How to prove the Conjecture?
 - Q: Is it possible to prove the same result for larger values of β ?
 - Q: Vortices are encoded in the vorticity measure, which depends on the gradient of the solution; can a similar result be proven in a stronger (e.g. H^1) norm?

CONCLUSION

- There is BEC in the Thomas Fermi limit, at least in a scaling with $\beta < 1/3$
 - Q: What happens if $\beta > 1/3$?
 - Q: Is Bogoliubov theory correct for $\beta < 1/3$?
- Condensation is preserved under suitable assumptions of regularity on the solution
 - Q: How to prove the Conjecture?
 - Q: Is it possible to prove the same result for larger values of β ?
 - Q: Vortices are encoded in the vorticity measure, which depends on the gradient of the solution; can a similar result be proven in a stronger (e.g. H^1) norm?

So long, and thanks for all the fish!